

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/072,040 | 02/08/2002 | Dorothy Mae Lottie | 19603/3810 (CRF D-2693) | 3785 |
| 75 | 590 05/02/2003 | | | |
| Frances E. McKenzie | | | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. Box 3002 Lake Wood, CA 90711 | | | CHIN, RANDALLE | |
| | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NOMBER |
| | | | 1744 | |
| | | | DATE MATERD: 05/02/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | MNW | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| • | 10/072,040 | LOTTIE ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Acti n Summary | Examin r | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Randall Chin | 1744 | | | | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th | is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims | - | | | | | |
| 4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept | • | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | | eved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 120 | allillet. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | \ | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a |)-(a) or (i). | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | s have been received | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | an Na | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the list of the list of the prior application from the list of the | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e | e) (to a provisional application). | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language pro | • • | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal F | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Potent and Tradework Office | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

1. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

Information Disclosure Statement

- 2. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.
- 3. The drawings are objected to because each and every figure should be separately labeled as a figure number. On the three drawing sheets, there are six

Application/Control Number: 10/072,040

Art Unit: 1744

figures and each should be labeled as a figure number with appropriate amendments made to the "Brief Description of the Several View of the Drawing" section on p.3 of the specification. Also, the different parts of the device should have designated reference numerals in the drawings and the "Detailed Description of the Invention" should include such reference numerals. See as examples the references cited.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. Claim 1 is rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only.

Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1744

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Zayas '749.

See col.4, lines 10-17.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Avolio, Lops, and Hang are pertinent to various lotion applicator arrangements.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randall Chin whose telephone number is (703) 308-1613. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Warden can be reached on (703) 308-2920. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,040

Art Unit: 1744

Randall Chin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

R. Chin

April 30, 2003